WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Jose Luis Arriaga-Sotelo Case Number: 13-01747M-001	
and was repr	ance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on June 6, 2013. E epresented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk a endant pending trial in this case.	
I find by a pr	FINDINGS OF FACT preponderance of the evidence that:	
		nce.
\boxtimes		
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immi Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has pre or otherwise removed.	gration and Customs viously been deported
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond to assure his/her future appearance.	reasonably calculated
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the Usubstantial family ties to Mexico.	Inited States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement	nt.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.	
	<u> </u>	
The at the time of	he Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were e of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.	reviewed by the Court
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.	
2.	. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defe	endant as required.
	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representations facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being here defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counseted States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceed	eld in custody pending el. On order of a court facility shall deliver the

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>June 6, 2013</u>

United States Magistrate Judge